

This article will run online with Risk Management magazine in April 2011.



INSURANCE CLAIMS FOR SUPPLY CHAIN AFTERSHOCKS OF THE JAPANESE EARTHQUAKE AND TSUNAMI

by: Robert M. Horkovich and Finley T. Harckham*

While the brunt of the suffering from the massive March 11 earthquake and tsunami has been in Japan, the economic aftershocks will be global, and many U.S. companies will suffer serious economic consequences. Damage to Japanese manufacturing facilities, roads, railroads and ports will result in unfulfilled orders for products and component parts, leaving some American companies to scramble to find alternate suppliers, and to pay higher prices. Other corporations here will lose access to markets in Japan.

To cover losses suffered as a result of damage to third party property, most commercial property insurance policies include contingent business interruption and contingent extra expense insurance. Though these coverages are narrowly defined and may be subject to sublimits, they can be very valuable in the event of major disruptions to trade.

What is Contingent Business Interruption Insurance?

Unlike regular business interruption coverage, which is triggered by loss of, or damage to, the policyholder's own insured property, contingent business interruption coverage is triggered by damage to the property of third parties who are not insureds under the policy. Typically, contingent business interruption insurance responds when there has been damage to the property of the policyholders' suppliers or customers. For example, several years ago an explosion at a factory in Japan destroyed the only production facility in the world for a specialty chemical used in products for cleaning computer chips. The loss of that supply forced the American manufacturer of the cleaning solution to use different ingredients. This had an impact upon prices and sales, and it reduced profits. The profits lost as a result of the explosion at the supplier's plant were covered by the American company's contingent business interruption coverage.

* **Robert M. Horkovich** is managing partner and shareholder in the New York office of Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C. He is a trial lawyer with substantial experience in trying complex insurance coverage actions on behalf of corporate policyholders and has obtained over \$5 billion in settlements and judgments from insurance companies for his clients over the past decade. He can be reached at (212) 278-1322 or rhorkovich@andersonkill.com. **Finley T. Harckham** is a senior partner in Anderson Kill's New York office. He regularly represents corporate policyholders in insurance coverage matters. He has successfully litigated, arbitrated and settled hundreds of complex coverage claims, including those involving business interruption, property loss, directors and officers liability, professional liability and general liability claims. He can be reached at (212) 278-1543 or fharckham@andersonkill.com.

Contingent Business Interruption Triggers

Contingent business interruption coverage is triggered when two basic requirements have been met.

First, under most policies, the damage which triggers the loss must have been suffered by a supplier or customer of the insured. Often, whether this requirement has been met is clear, but there are gray areas as well. For example, after flooding in the Mississippi river, Archer Daniel Midlands ("ADM") suffered a loss because it could not move crops to its food processing plants by barge along the flooded waterways. It submitted a claim for contingent business interruption coverage, arguing that there had been damage to docks and dams operated by the Army Corps of Engineers, and that the Corps was a "supplier" of services to ADM. A federal court agreed, noting that ADM paid fees to the Corps for using its facilities, which brought the Corps within the insurance policy's coverage for loss resulting from physical damage suffered by a supplier. Based upon this case, policyholders would have a good argument that damage to a port in Japan which prevented the shipping of products to the U.S. or into Japan fulfills the requirement of damage to a supplier.

Uncertainty may also arise as to whether damage has been suffered by a customer. Many products are sold through distributors to retail establishments and then on to end users. An argument could be made that anyone who receives the product along that chain is the manufacturer's customer. Some insurance policies limit the uncertainty by defining customers as end users, but some do not. Even such a definition does not eliminate potential ambiguity, such as where a product is purchased and then incorporated into a larger product, such as a transmission for a car.

Second, contingent business interruption coverage is only provided if the physical damage to the suppliers' or customers' property which triggered the loss would have been covered if it had happened to the policyholder's own property, and from the same cause. If a supplier's factory was destroyed in an earthquake, an American policyholder will only have coverage for a resulting loss of profits if it has earthquake insurance for its own property. Damage caused by a tsunami would only trigger contingent business interruption coverage for a policyholder with flood insurance.

What is Contingent Extra Expense Insurance?

Contingent extra expense coverage is extra expense insurance that applies when costs are incurred as a result of a business interruption caused by damage to the property of a supplier or customer. Like ordinary extra expense coverage, contingent extra expense insurance may be issued in one of two basic forms: (1) for extra expense to reduce loss and (2) for "pure" extra expense. The more common coverage

insures only against extraordinary costs incurred to minimize or prevent a contingent business interruption loss. For example, in the example given above involving the destruction of a chemical manufacturing plant, contingent extra expense to reduce loss insurance would cover expenses incurred to find alternative ingredients at higher prices than the lost supply. Coverage for "pure" extra expense includes costs to minimize loss, but also insures against a wider scope of expenses incurred as a result of damage to the third party's property.

Presenting and pursuing claims for contingent business interruption and contingent extra expense requires expertise, a plan, and aggressive execution. The time to begin those efforts is now, without waiting the weeks or months it might take to determine the scope of the loss.

About Anderson Kill

Anderson Kill practices law in the areas of Insurance Recovery, Anti-Counterfeiting, Antitrust, Bankruptcy, Commercial Litigation, Corporate & Securities, Employment & Labor Law, Health Reform, Intellectual Property, International Arbitration, Real Estate & Construction, Tax, and Trusts & Estates. Best-known for its work in insurance recovery, the firm represents policyholders only in insurance coverage disputes, with no ties to insurance companies and no conflicts of interest. Clients include Fortune 1000 companies, small and medium-sized businesses, governmental entities, and nonprofits as well as personal estates. Based in New York City, the firm also has offices in Newark, NJ, Philadelphia, PA, Stamford, CT, Ventura, CA and Washington, DC. For companies seeking to do business internationally, Anderson Kill, through its membership in Interleges, a consortium of similar law firms in some 20 countries, assures the same high quality of service throughout the world that it provides itself here in the United States..

The information appearing in this article does not constitute legal advice or opinion. Such advice and opinion are provided by the firm only upon engagement with respect to specific factual situations.